



ELSEVIER

Journal of Geometry and Physics 26 (1998) 202–226

JOURNAL OF
GEOMETRY AND
PHYSICS

The multidimensional Darboux transformation

Artemio González-López^{a,*}, Niky Kamran^{b,1}

^a *Departamento de Física Teórica II, Universidad Complutense, Madrid, 28040 Spain*

^b *The Fields Institute, 222 College Street, Toronto, Ont., Canada M5T 3J1*

Received 10 April 1997; received in revised form July 1997

Abstract

A generalization of the classical one-dimensional Darboux transformation to arbitrary n -dimensional oriented Riemannian manifolds is constructed using an intrinsic formulation based on the properties of twisted Hodge Laplacians. The classical two-dimensional Moutard transformation is also generalized to non-compact oriented Riemannian manifolds of dimension $n \geq 2$. New examples of quasi-exactly solvable multidimensional matrix Schrödinger operators on curved manifolds are obtained by applying the above results.

Subj. Class.: Quantum mechanics

1991 MSC: 53B20, 53B50, 81Q05, 81Q60

Keywords: Darboux transformation; Moutard transformation; Quasi-exact solvability; Supersymmetric quantum mechanics

1. Introduction

Our purpose in this paper is to define and study the properties of a broad generalization to n dimensions of the classical Darboux transformation for Sturm–Liouville operators on the line. Our approach will stem from a geometric generalization of the basic intertwining relations underlying the classical Darboux transformation to the context of certain twisted Laplacians acting on the exterior algebra of an oriented Riemannian manifold.

* Corresponding author. E-mail: artemio@ciruelo.fis.ucm.es. Support in part by DGES PB95-0401.

¹ E-mail: nkamran@math.mcgill.ca. Support in part by an NSERC Grant. On sabbatical leave from the Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Montreal, Que., Canada H3A 2K6.

Let us begin by recalling the essentials of the one-dimensional Darboux transformation, [3,4]. Consider a Sturm–Liouville operator h , given by

$$h = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x),$$

and let e^{-x} be a nowhere vanishing eigenfunction of h with eigenvalue E_0 ,

$$(h - E_0)e^{-x} = 0.$$

The classical Darboux transformation associates to h the Sturm–Liouville operator \tilde{h} defined by

$$\tilde{h} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \tilde{V}(x),$$

where

$$\tilde{V} = V + 2\chi''.$$

It is straightforward to verify that the operators h and \tilde{h} , shifted by E_0 , can be factorized in the following way:

$$h - E_0 = Q^+ Q^-, \quad \tilde{h} - E_0 = Q^- Q^+,$$

where Q^+ and Q^- are first-order differential operators defined by

$$Q^+ = -\frac{d}{dx} + \chi', \quad Q^- = \frac{d}{dx} + \chi'.$$

The operators $h - E_0, \tilde{h} - E_0, Q^+$ and Q^- are therefore related by the intertwining relations

$$(h - E_0) Q^+ = Q^+ (\tilde{h} - E_0), \quad Q^- (h - E_0) = (\tilde{h} - E_0) Q^-.$$

We thus obtain a simple relation between the eigenfunctions (formal or L^2) of h and those of its Darboux transform \tilde{h} . Indeed, if ψ is a formal eigenfunction of h with eigenvalue $E \neq E_0$, then it follows immediately from the above intertwining relations that $Q^- \psi$ will be a formal eigenfunction of \tilde{h} with the same eigenvalue. Conversely, if $\tilde{\psi}$ is a formal eigenfunction of \tilde{h} with eigenvalue $E \neq E_0$, then $Q^+ \tilde{\psi}$ will be a formal eigenfunction of h with eigenvalue E . It is not difficult to show that this correspondence also holds at the level of the L^2 eigenfunctions of h and \tilde{h} , so that the Darboux transformation establishes a correspondence between bound states of h and \tilde{h} .

It is well known that the Darboux transformation plays an important role in the theory of soliton solutions of integrable evolution equations and in the method of inverse scattering [5]. It also provides a powerful method for generating new exactly or quasi-exactly solvable one-dimensional potentials from known ones [13]. The Darboux transformation also appears as a basic tool in the theory of special functions through the factorization method of Infeld and Hull [10]. The problem of extending the Darboux transformation to the case of multi-dimensional differential operators is therefore of considerable interest.

There are at least two natural candidates for what could be called a Darboux transformation in two dimensions, namely the *Laplace* [9] and *Moutard* [12] transformations. The Moutard transformation is perhaps closer in spirit to the classical Darboux transformation, since it is based on intertwining relations analogous to the ones given above for the Darboux transformation. This will be made explicit in Section 5. On the other hand, the Laplace transformation plays a significant role in the realm of integrable systems. For example, it naturally gives rise to the Lax representation for the A_n Toda lattice [14]. In any case, both the Laplace and Moutard transformations preserve the class of linear elliptic second-order differential operators in the plane. However, unlike the one-dimensional Darboux transformation, they both suffer from the major limitation that they yield only *one* eigenfunction for the transformed operator, the reason being that *they do not incorporate the spectral parameter E* . Let us briefly illustrate this difficulty in the case of the Laplace transformation (the conclusion is analogous for the Moutard transformation). Consider the two-dimensional Schrödinger equation given by

$$\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} + V(x, y)\right) \psi = E \psi,$$

in terms of complex coordinates $z = \frac{1}{2}(x + iy)$, $\bar{z} = \frac{1}{2}(x - iy)$. Under the Laplace transformation, the wave function ψ gets mapped to the wave function $\hat{\psi}$ defined by

$$\hat{\psi} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \bar{z}},$$

and it is easily verified that the transformed wave function $\hat{\psi}$ satisfies the following Schrödinger equation for a particle in a magnetic field

$$\left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial \log(V - E)}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + V - E\right] \hat{\psi} = 0.$$

The explicit dependence of the coefficient of $\partial/\partial z$ on E illustrates our point. There is also a drawback which is specific to the Laplace transformation, namely that its geometric generalization to n dimensions [11] applies to a class of highly overdetermined systems which bear no relation to any natural spectral problem, although they are of course interesting in their own right. Another essential limitation of the Laplace and Moutard transformations is that they are only defined for flat Laplacians expressed in Cartesian coordinates, whereas many of the Schrödinger operators arising by symmetry reduction involve curved Laplacians in very general coordinate systems. One would therefore like to have a multi-dimensional Darboux transformation which is defined in a *covariant* way, which allows for *curvature* of the underlying manifold and which includes the spectral parameter in a natural way.

An indication on how to proceed is suggested by the work of Andrianov et al. [2]. In their scheme, one starts from a Schrödinger operator h in the Euclidean plane, expressed in Cartesian coordinates, and one constructs, starting from a nowhere vanishing eigenfunction of h , the Moutard transform \tilde{h} of h , and a 2×2 matrix Schrödinger operator H which splits into the sum of two operators $H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$. The intertwining relations between h , \tilde{h} , $H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$ imply that h and $H^{(1)}$ have the same eigenvalues except possibly for the zero

eigenvalue, and similarly for \tilde{h} and $H^{(2)}$. (This construction was later generalized in [1] to flat n -dimensional Euclidean space, still using Cartesian coordinates in an essential way.) After a careful analysis of this scheme, we conclude that the operators h , H and \tilde{h} introduced in [2] can in fact be re-expressed as twisted flat Laplacians acting on 0-forms, 1-forms and 2-forms, the latter being identified with 0-forms by means of the Hodge operator for the underlying two-dimensional flat Euclidean metric. The intertwining relations between h , \tilde{h} , $H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$ will now follow immediately from elementary properties of the twisted differentials and codifferentials.

Starting from this observation, we succeed in our paper to construct a fully covariant and coordinate-free multi-dimensional generalization of the classical Darboux transformation, valid on an arbitrary curved n -dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold. Our n -dimensional Darboux transformation relates, via intertwining relations involving twisted differentials and codifferentials, the spectra and eigenfunctions of a string of $n + 1$ twisted Laplacians acting on k -forms for $0 \leq k \leq n$. It is noteworthy that these are the twisted Laplacians which were used by Witten [16] in his proof of the Morse inequalities based on ideas from supersymmetry. When expressed in any coordinate system, these twisted Laplacians take the form of matrix Schrödinger operators acting on the $\binom{n}{k}$ components of a k -form. In the special case where the underlying manifold is flat Euclidean space in Cartesian coordinates, our multi-dimensional Darboux transformation reproduces the classical Darboux transformation and the scheme of [1,2].

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the twisted versions of the differential, the codifferential and the Laplacian on forms. When expressed in local coordinates, the latter will correspond to scalar and matrix Schrödinger operators on curved Riemannian manifolds. In Section 3, we first derive the basic intertwining relations defining our multi-dimensional Darboux transformation and give their spectral interpretation. This generalization of the Darboux transformation is valid for twisted Laplacians on an arbitrary n -dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold. We then derive the local coordinate expressions of the resulting matrix Schrödinger operators in terms of the seed eigenfunction for the original scalar Hamiltonian and the Riemann curvature of the background metric. The connection between the spectra of our matrix Hamiltonians admits an interesting interpretation in terms of supersymmetry, cf. [1,15,16], which we briefly recall. In Section 4, we show that our multi-dimensional Darboux transformation reduces to the classical Darboux transformation on the line and to a covariant coordinate-free generalization to curved oriented Riemannian manifolds of the scheme of Refs. [1,2] in two dimensions. In Section 5, we derive a covariant n -dimensional generalization of the Moutard transformation which applies to all twisted Laplacians. The spectral interpretation of the multi-dimensional Moutard transformation is significantly more limited than that of the multi-dimensional Darboux transformation, because it only applies to the zero modes of the twisted Laplacians. Finally, in Section 6 we obtain new examples of multi-dimensional quasi-exactly or exactly solvable matrix Schrödinger operators of physical interest, of which very few seem to be known, by applying the multi-dimensional Darboux transformation to various quasi-exactly solvable planar Hamiltonians [6].

2. Twisted Laplacians and Schrödinger operators

Our purpose in this section is to define a twisted version of the Laplacian on k -forms on an oriented Riemannian manifold. On scalar functions, this twisted Laplacian will correspond to a Schrödinger operator.

We start by setting up some notation. Let M be an n -dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold, with metric $(g_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, and volume form $\mu = \sqrt{g(x)} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$. The scalar product induced by the Riemannian metric on the exterior algebra of M is denoted by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{k!} \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_k} \beta^{i_1 \dots i_k},$$

and the standard inner product of the k -forms $\alpha, \beta \in \wedge^k(M)$ is given by

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \int_M \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \mu.$$

The inner product (α, β) will of course only be defined when the above integral is convergent.

Our convention for the Hodge star $*\alpha \in \wedge^{n-k}(M)$ of a k -form α is the usual one, namely,

$$(*\alpha)_{j_{k+1} \dots j_n} = \frac{\sqrt{g}}{k!} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_n} \alpha^{j_1 \dots j_k}. \tag{1}$$

The codifferential δ of a k -form α is then defined by the formula

$$\delta\alpha = (-1)^{n(k-1)+1} * d(*\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \wedge^k(M),$$

so that in local coordinates, we have

$$(\delta\alpha)_{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} = -\nabla^j \alpha_{j i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}, \tag{2}$$

where ∇ denotes the covariant derivative associated to the Riemannian metric. With our sign conventions, the operators d and δ are the formal adjoint of one another with respect to the scalar product on $\wedge(M)$,

$$d_k^\dagger = \delta_{k+1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n - 1.$$

The local exactness of d (Poincaré’s lemma) implies that δ is also locally exact.

Our sign convention for the Hodge Laplacian $\Delta : \wedge^k(M) \rightarrow \wedge^k(M)$ is given by

$$-\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$$

so that $-\Delta$ is (formally) self-adjoint and non-negative. With this sign convention, Δ reduces to the classical Laplace–Beltrami operator $\nabla^i \nabla_i$ on scalar functions. A *Schrödinger operator* (or *Hamiltonian*) on M is a second-order differential operator $h : \wedge^0(M) \rightarrow \wedge^0(M)$ of the form

$$h = -\Delta + V, \tag{3}$$

where Δ is the classical Laplace–Beltrami operator, and the potential V is a scalar function. Just as the classical Laplace–Beltrami operator is the restriction of $-(d\delta + \delta d)$ to $\wedge^0(M)$, an arbitrary Schrödinger operator h can be expressed in terms of a “twisted” version of the latter operator.

To this end, given a smooth real-valued function χ on M we introduce the *twisted differentials*

$$d^\pm = e^{\pm\chi} de^{\mp\chi}$$

and the *twisted codifferentials*

$$\delta^\pm = e^{\pm\chi} \delta e^{\mp\chi}.$$

The operators d^\pm and δ^\pm have the following properties, which follow directly from analogous properties of d and δ :

- (i) $(d^\pm)^\dagger = \delta^\mp$,
- (ii) $(d^\pm)^2 = (\delta^\pm)^2 = 0$,
- (iii) d^\pm and δ^\pm are locally exact.

There are two natural ways of “twisting” the operator Δ in such a way that the resulting operator maps $\wedge^k(M)$ into itself for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and is formally self-adjoint and non-negative; namely, we can define the *twisted Hodge Laplacians*²

$$H = d^- \delta^+ + \delta^+ d^-, \quad \tilde{H} = d^+ \delta^- + \delta^- d^+.$$

Let us take the first of these operators, and apply it to scalar functions. Using the identity

$$\delta(f dg) = -f \Delta g - \langle df, dg \rangle = -f \Delta g - \nabla f \cdot \nabla g,$$

where f and g are scalar functions on M , we obtain the following expression for the action of the operator H on a function $f \in \wedge^0(M)$:

$$Hf = (-\Delta + V - E_0) f,$$

where

$$V = (\nabla\chi)^2 - \Delta\chi + E_0, \tag{4}$$

and E_0 is an arbitrary real constant whose purpose will soon become clear. (Note that V is real, since χ is a real-valued function.) Thus, an arbitrary Schrödinger operator h can be represented as

$$h = H_0 + E_0 \equiv (\delta^+ d^- + d^- \delta^+)_0 + E_0,$$

provided that the function χ satisfies (4). The meaning of (4) and of the arbitrary constant E_0 is apparent if we observe that

$$(h - E_0)e^{-\chi} = H_0 e^{-\chi} = \delta^+ d^- e^{-\chi} = 0.$$

² The one-parameter family of twisted Laplacians H_t obtained from H by setting $\chi = t\chi_0$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and χ_0 is a Morse function on M , was studied by Witten in his derivation of the Morse inequalities using supersymmetric quantum mechanics, cf. [16].

In other words, (4) is equivalent to the fact that $e^{-\chi}$ is a formal eigenfunction of h with eigenvalue E_0 . Note that here, and in what follows, by a *formal eigenfunction* of an operator h we simply mean a solution ψ of the eigenvalue equation $(h - E)\psi = 0$, regardless of the boundary conditions (like square integrability) that may be used to define true eigenfunctions of h .

Similarly, if we apply the twisted Laplacian \tilde{H} defined above to a scalar function $f \in \wedge^0(M)$ we obtain

$$\tilde{H}f = \delta^- d^+ f = (-\Delta + \tilde{V} - E_0) f$$

with \tilde{V} given by

$$\tilde{V} = (\nabla\chi)^2 + \Delta\chi + E_0 = V + 2\Delta\chi, \tag{5}$$

since \tilde{H} is obtained from H by replacing χ with $-\chi$. Letting

$$\tilde{h} = -\Delta + \tilde{V} \tag{6}$$

we then have

$$(\tilde{h} - E_0)e^\chi = 0.$$

The Schrödinger operator \tilde{h} is the *Moutard transform* of h [12].

3. The multi-dimensional Darboux transformation

The goal of this section is to define the multi-dimensional Darboux transformation. The definition will be naturally suggested by some fundamental intertwining relations involving the differential operators on $\wedge(M)$ introduced in Section 2.

Let us consider the operator $H : \wedge(M) \rightarrow \wedge(M)$, which we shall decompose as follows:

$$H = H^{(1)} + H^{(2)},$$

where

$$H^{(1)} = d^- \delta^+, \quad H^{(2)} = \delta^+ d^-.$$

Observe that, since δ^+ and d^- are coboundary operators, we have

$$H^{(1)} H^{(2)} = H^{(2)} H^{(1)} = 0. \tag{7}$$

Since the operator H maps $\wedge^k(M)$ into itself for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, we can also write

$$H = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n H_k,$$

where H_k is the restriction of H to $\wedge^k(M)$. The operator H_k can be decomposed as

$$H_k = H_k^{(1)} + H_k^{(2)},$$

where $H_k^{(1)}$ and $H_k^{(2)}$ are the restrictions of $H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$ to $\wedge^k(M)$. In particular,

$$H_0^{(1)} = H_n^{(2)} = 0.$$

From (7) we obtain

$$H_k^{(1)} H_k^{(2)} = H_k^{(2)} H_k^{(1)} = 0. \tag{8}$$

By construction, H is formally self-adjoint and non-negative. Therefore the same is true for the operators $H_k : \wedge^k(M) \rightarrow \wedge^k(M)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Likewise, both $H_k^{(1)}$ and $H_k^{(2)}$ are formally self-adjoint and non-negative, the latter property being a consequence of the identities

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, H_k^{(1)} \alpha) &= (d^- \alpha, d^- \alpha), \\ (\alpha, H_k^{(2)} \alpha) &= (\delta^+ \alpha, \delta^+ \alpha), \quad \alpha \in \wedge^k(M), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

The following *intertwining relations* are an immediate consequence of the definition of $H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$:

$$\delta_{k+1}^+ H_{k+1}^{(1)} = H_k^{(2)} \delta_{k+1}^+, \tag{9}$$

$$H_{k+1}^{(1)} d_k^- = d_k^- H_k^{(2)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1. \tag{10}$$

The intertwining relations (9) and (10) have important consequences for the spectra of the operators $H_{k+1}^{(1)}$ and $H_k^{(2)}$, that we shall now explore.

Proposition 1.

- (i) If $\omega \in \wedge^{k+1}(M)$ is an eigenform of $H_{k+1}^{(1)}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\delta^+ \omega \equiv \delta_{k+1}^+ \omega$ is an eigenform of $H_k^{(2)}$ with the same eigenvalue.
- (ii) Likewise, if $\omega \in \wedge^k(M)$ is an eigenform of $H_k^{(2)}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$, then $d^- \omega \equiv d_k^- \omega$ is an eigenform of $H_{k+1}^{(1)}$ with the same eigenvalue.

Proof. The only point that is not an immediate consequence of the intertwining relations is that $\delta^+ \omega$ in part (i) cannot vanish identically, and likewise for $d^- \omega$ in part (ii). Let us show, for instance, that $\delta^+ \omega \neq 0$ in part (i). If $\delta^+ \omega = 0$, then by the local exactness of δ^+ (property (iii) in Section 2) for every $p \in M$ there is an open neighborhood U_p of p such that $\omega = \delta^+ \alpha_p$ on U_p , for some differential form α_p on U_p . But this would imply that $H_{k+1}^{(1)} \omega = d^- \delta^+ (\delta^+ \alpha_p) = 0$ on U_p , for every $p \in M$, so that $H_{k+1}^{(1)} \omega = 0$ on M . Hence $\lambda = 0$, contradicting the hypothesis. □

Let L be a differential operator on $\wedge(M)$ mapping each subspace $\wedge^k(M)$ into itself. Examples of such an operator are $H, H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{A}(L) \subset \wedge(M)$ the set of *admissible forms* for L , that is the subspace of the space of square-integrable forms $L^2(\wedge(M))$ satisfying any additional boundary or asymptotic conditions that are appropriate for the problem being considered. The set of admissible forms for L_k is then $\mathcal{A}(L_k) \equiv \mathcal{A}_k(L) = \mathcal{A}(L) \cap \wedge^k(M)$. The *spectrum* of L , denoted by $\sigma(L)$, is the set of numbers λ

such that there is an admissible non-zero eigenform $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(L)$ satisfying the eigenvalue equation $L \omega = \lambda \omega$. We shall also use the convenient notation

$$\sigma'(L) = \sigma(L) - \{0\}.$$

The linear space of all k -forms ω satisfying the eigenvalue equation $L \omega = \lambda \omega$ will be denoted by $\wedge^k_\lambda(L)$. Equivalently, $\wedge^k_\lambda(L)$ is the set of eigenforms of L_k with eigenvalue λ , together with the zero k -form.

By the formal self-adjointness and non-negativity of $H_k, H_k^{(1)}$ and $H_k^{(2)}$, the eigenvalues of these operators are real and non-negative. Proposition 1 has the following immediate corollary:

Corollary 2. *If the operators δ^+ and d^- map $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}(H)$ into itself, then the spectra of $H_k^{(2)}$ and $H_{k+1}^{(1)}$ are related by*

$$\sigma'(H_k^{(2)}) = \sigma'(H_{k+1}^{(1)}), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1. \tag{11}$$

In the remainder of this section, we shall not distinguish between true and formal eigenforms, unless otherwise indicated. The following lemma, whose proof is elementary, will have non-trivial consequences in what follows:

Lemma 3. *Let V be a vector space, and let $L : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear operator. Suppose that $L = L_1 + L_2$, with $L_1 L_2 = L_2 L_1 = 0$. The following statements are then true:*

- (i) *If v is an eigenvector of L with eigenvalue λ , either $L_i v = \lambda v$ and $L_j v = 0$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ with $i \neq j$, or $L_i v$ is an eigenvector of L_i with eigenvalue λ for $i = 1, 2$.*
- (ii) $\sigma(L) \subset \sigma(L_1) \cup \sigma(L_2)$.
- (iii) $\sigma'(L) = \sigma'(L_1) \cup \sigma'(L_2)$.

From the previous lemma and (8), it follows that

$$\sigma'(H_k) = \sigma'(H_k^{(1)}) \cup \sigma'(H_k^{(2)}). \tag{12}$$

Thus, the spectrum of H_k , with the possible exception of the zero eigenvalue, is simply the union of the spectra of its components $H_k^{(1)}$ and $H_k^{(2)}$. The spectra of $H_{k+1}^{(1)}$ and $H_k^{(2)}$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$) are identical, except perhaps for the zero eigenvalue. The operator δ^+ maps eigenforms of $H_{k+1}^{(1)}$ with non-zero eigenvalue into eigenforms of $H_k^{(2)}$ with the same eigenvalue, and similarly d^- maps eigenforms of $H_k^{(2)}$ with non-zero eigenvalue into eigenforms of $H_{k+1}^{(1)}$ with the same eigenvalue. From the identity (11) we easily obtain the following relation between the even and odd components of H :

$$\sigma' \left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} H_{2j} \right) = \sigma' \left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} H_{2j+1} \right).$$

Definition 4. Let $\lambda \neq 0$, and $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. If $\omega \in \wedge_\lambda^{k+1}(H^{(1)})$, its Darboux transform is the k -form $\delta^+\omega \in \wedge_\lambda^k(H^{(2)})$. Similarly, the Darboux transform of a k -form $\omega \in \wedge_\lambda^k(H^{(2)})$ is the $(k + 1)$ -form $d^-\omega \in \wedge_\lambda^{k+1}(H^{(1)})$.

From the definition of $H^{(i)}$ it directly follows that if $\lambda \neq 0$ the restriction $\delta^+ : \wedge_\lambda^{k+1}(H^{(1)}) \rightarrow \wedge_\lambda^k(H^{(2)})$ is invertible, its inverse being the restriction $\lambda^{-1} d^- : \wedge_\lambda^k(H^{(2)}) \rightarrow \wedge_\lambda^{k+1}(H^{(1)})$.

The Darboux transformation we have just defined acts in a natural way on eigenforms of the partial Hamiltonians $H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$. In the same spirit, we shall see next how to use the Darboux transformation to construct new eigenforms of H of degree $k - 1$ and/or $k + 1$ starting from a given eigenform of degree k with non-zero eigenvalue. To this end, let $\omega \in \wedge^k(M)$ be an eigenform of H with eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$. By Lemma 3, either $H^{(i)}\omega = \lambda\omega$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, or $H^{(i)}\omega$ is an eigenform of $H^{(i)}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. In the first case, the Darboux transform of ω is well defined according to Definition 4, and belongs to either $\wedge_\lambda^{k-1}(H^{(2)})$ (when $i = 1$), or to $\wedge_\lambda^{k+1}(H^{(1)})$ (when $i = 2$). By Lemma 3, it follows that the Darboux transform of ω is an eigenform of H in this case. In the second case, the Darboux transforms of both $H^{(1)}\omega$ and $H^{(2)}\omega$ are defined and, as before, are eigenforms of H with eigenvalue λ . Hence in this case both $\delta^+H^{(1)}\omega \equiv \delta^+d^- \delta^+\omega$ and $d^-H^{(2)}\omega \equiv d^-\delta^+d^-\omega$ are eigenforms of H with eigenvalue λ and degree equal to $k - 1$ and $k + 1$, respectively. Note that in this case both $H^{(1)}\omega$ and $H^{(2)}\omega$ are also eigenforms of H of degree k with eigenvalue λ , neither of which is proportional to ω (although the span of ω , $H^{(1)}\omega$ and $H^{(2)}\omega$ is obviously two-dimensional). Thus in the second case, i.e, when $H^{(i)}\omega \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, we can construct *three* new eigenforms of H of degrees $k - 1$, k and $k + 1$ and eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$ starting from a known eigenform $\omega \in \wedge_\lambda^k(H)$.

The above construction could have been carried out using the twisted Laplacian \tilde{H} instead of H . However, we shall now show that the two constructions are equivalent:

Proposition 5. For $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, the operators H_{n-k} and \tilde{H}_k are linearly equivalent under Hodge duality

$$\tilde{H}_k = (*)^{-1} H_{n-k} * . \tag{13}$$

Proof. An elementary calculation shows that

$$*H_{n-k}* = (-1)^{k(n-k)} \tilde{H}_k,$$

from which (13) easily follows. □

Note that in particular, H_n is equivalent under this identification to $\tilde{H}_0 = \tilde{h} - E_0$, the Moutard transform of $H_0 = h - E_0$.

We end this section by deriving local coordinate expressions for the multi-dimensional Darboux transformation and for the component Hamiltonians H_k and \tilde{H}_k . By definition,

$$d^-\omega = e^{-X} d(e^X \omega) = d\omega + dX \wedge \omega$$

so that if $\omega \in \wedge^k(M)$, we have the following local coordinate expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (d^-\omega)_{j i_1 \dots i_k} &= (\nabla_{[j} + \chi_j) \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k]} \\
 &= (\nabla_j + \chi_j) \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} - \sum_{r=1}^k (\nabla_{i_r} + \chi_{i_r}) \omega_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} j i_{r+1} \dots i_k}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{14}$$

Here the square brackets denote antisymmetrization, and $\chi_j = \nabla_j \chi = \partial \chi / \partial x^j$. Similarly, using (2) we obtain

$$(\delta^+\omega)_{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} = (-\nabla^j + \chi^j) \omega_{j i_1 \dots i_{k-1}}, \quad \omega \in \wedge^k(M).
 \tag{15}$$

Using the local formulas (14) and (15) we obtain, after some calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (H_k \omega)_{i_1 \dots i_k} &= [-\nabla_j \nabla^j + (\nabla \chi)^2 - \Delta \chi] \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{r=1}^k \nabla^j \nabla_{i_r} \chi \cdot \omega_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} j i_{r+1} \dots i_k} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{r+1} R^h{}_{i_r} \omega_{h i_1 \dots \widehat{i_r} \dots i_k} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq r < q \leq k} (-1)^{r+q+1} R^j{}_{i_r}{}^h{}_{i_q} \omega_{j h i_1 \dots \widehat{i_r} \dots \widehat{i_q} \dots i_k}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{16}$$

In the latter formula, our sign convention for the Riemann tensor $R^i{}_{jhl}$ is determined by

$$R^i{}_{jhl} = \frac{\partial \Gamma^i{}_{jh}}{\partial x^l} - \frac{\partial \Gamma^i{}_{jl}}{\partial x^h} + \Gamma^i{}_{pl} \Gamma^p{}_{jh} - \Gamma^i{}_{ph} \Gamma^p{}_{jl},$$

while $R^i{}_j$ is given in terms of the Ricci tensor R_{ij} by

$$R^i{}_j = R^{ih}{}_{hj} = g^{ih} R_{hj}.$$

In particular, setting $\chi = 0$ we obtain a well-known formula for minus the Laplacian in local coordinates. The operator H_k has the structure

$$H_k = -\Delta_k + V_k,$$

where Δ_k is the restriction of the Laplacian to $\wedge^k(M)$, and V_k acts like a matrix potential on the components of any k -form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (V_k \omega)_{i_1 \dots i_k} &= [(\nabla \chi)^2 - \Delta \chi] \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} + 2 \sum_{r=1}^k \nabla^j \nabla_{i_r} \chi \cdot \omega_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} j i_{r+1} \dots i_k} \\
 &= (V - E_0) \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} + 2 \sum_{r=1}^k \nabla^j \nabla_{i_r} \chi \cdot \omega_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} j i_{r+1} \dots i_k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The local coordinate expressions for the component Hamiltonians \tilde{H}_k are obtained from the previous formulas by replacing χ with $-\chi$.

As first pointed out by Witten [15,16] (see also [1]), the connection between the spectra of the component Hamiltonians H_k discussed in this section admits an interesting interpretation in terms of supersymmetry. Indeed, the $n + 1$ homogeneous components ω_k of degree k of a differential form $\Omega = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \omega_k$ can be interpreted as the components of a supermultiplet, with k -forms regarded as being bosonic or fermionic depending on whether k is even or odd, respectively. The supercharges Q^\pm are by definition the operators

$$Q^- = \delta^+, \quad Q^+ = (Q^-)^\dagger = d^-,$$

while the supersymmetric Hamiltonian

$$H = \{Q^+, Q^-\}$$

is just the twisted Laplacian. The remaining commutation relations defining the standard supersymmetry algebra

$$\{Q^\pm, Q^\pm\} = [Q^\pm, H] = 0$$

hold thanks to the elementary properties of d^\pm, δ^\pm .

We can also introduce fermion creation and annihilation operators

$$b_i^- = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \lrcorner, \quad b^{i+} = dx^i \wedge,$$

where \lrcorner denotes the inner product. The usual fermionic anticommutation relations

$$\{b^{i+}, b^{j+}\} = \{b_i^-, b_j^-\} = 0, \quad \{b^{i+}, b_j^-\} = \delta_j^i,$$

as well as the identity

$$b^{i+} = (b_i^-)^\dagger \equiv (g^{ij} b_j^-)^\dagger,$$

follow easily from well-known exterior algebra identities. The supercharges Q^\pm can be expressed in terms of the creation and annihilation operators as

$$Q^\pm = q^{i\mp} b_i^\pm,$$

where

$$q_i^\pm \omega = \frac{1}{k!} (\mp \nabla_i + \nabla_i \chi) \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} \cdot dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_k} = g_{ij} q^{j\pm} \omega.$$

One can easily check the identity

$$H = -\Delta + (\nabla \chi)^2 + \nabla_i \nabla_j \chi \cdot [b^{i+}, b^{j-}],$$

which generalizes formula (13) of [16] (where an orthonormal basis of the tangent space is used to define creation and annihilation operators).

4. The Darboux transformation in low dimensions

Let us begin by showing that in the one-dimensional case the multi-dimensional Darboux transformation reduces to the classical Darboux transformation. Indeed, in this case $M = \mathbb{R}$, $H_0 = h - E_0$, and H_1 is equivalent to $\tilde{H}_0 = \tilde{h} - E_0$ under the Hodge duality. If

$$h = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x),$$

then from (5) we have

$$\tilde{h} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \tilde{V}(x),$$

where

$$\tilde{V} = V + 2\chi''$$

and

$$(h - E_0)e^{-x} = 0. \tag{17}$$

The operator d^- maps eigenfunctions of $h = H_0 + E_0$ with eigenvalue $E \neq E_0$ into eigenfunctions of H_1 with eigenvalue $E - E_0$. Therefore, the operator $Q^- = *d^-$ will map eigenfunctions of h with eigenvalue $E \neq E_0$ into eigenfunctions of \tilde{h} with the same eigenvalue. If x is a cartesian coordinate, we easily obtain

$$Q^- = *d^- = \frac{d}{dx} + \chi'. \tag{18}$$

Similarly, if ϕ is an eigenfunction of $\tilde{h} = \tilde{H}_0 + E_0$ with eigenvalue E then $*\phi$ will be an eigenfunction of H_1 with eigenvalue $E - E_0$, and therefore the operator

$$Q^+ = \delta* = -\frac{d}{dx} + \chi' \tag{19}$$

maps eigenfunctions of \tilde{h} with eigenvalue $E \neq E_0$ into eigenfunctions of h with the same eigenvalue. The operators Q^- and Q^+ are formally the adjoint of one another under the Euclidean scalar product on \mathbb{R} , and we have

$$h - E_0 = Q^+ Q^-, \quad \tilde{h} - E_0 = Q^- Q^+. \tag{20}$$

Eqs. (17)–(20) express indeed the classical Darboux transformation.

The two-dimensional Darboux transformation generalizes to curved oriented surfaces the Darboux transformation introduced in [2] for \mathbb{R}^2 in cartesian coordinates. Indeed, let M be a two-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold. The component Hamiltonians are in this case $H_0 = h - E_0$, $H_1 : \wedge^1(M) \rightarrow \wedge^1(M)$ and $H_2 : \wedge^2(M) \rightarrow \wedge^2(M)$, which is equivalent under the Hodge duality to $\tilde{H}_0 = \tilde{h} - E_0$. The scalar Hamiltonians h and \tilde{h} are given by Eqs. (3)–(6), while the function e^{-x} as usual satisfies $(h - E_0)e^{-x} = 0$. To the

operator H_1 acting on 1-forms there corresponds an operator \hat{H}_1 acting on vector fields, defined by

$$\hat{H}_1 X = (H_1 X^b)^\sharp, \tag{21}$$

where $X^\flat = g_{ij} X^j dx^i$ is the 1-form associated to the vector field $X = X^i \partial/\partial x^i$, and $\sharp = \flat^{-1}$. Using (16) we easily find the following local coordinate expression for $\hat{H}_1 X$:

$$(\hat{H}_1 X)^i = [-\nabla_j \nabla^j + (\nabla \chi)^2 - \Delta \chi] X^i + 2 \nabla^i \nabla_j \chi \cdot X^j + R_j^i X^j.$$

From the well-known two-dimensional identity

$$R_j^i = K \delta_j^i,$$

where K is the Gaussian curvature of M , we obtain the equivalent expression

$$(\hat{H}_1 X)^i = [-\nabla_j \nabla^j + (\nabla \chi)^2 - \Delta \chi + K] X^i + 2 \nabla^i \nabla_j \chi \cdot X^j. \tag{22}$$

In flat space and cartesian coordinates, the above formula for \hat{H}_1 reduces to formula (9) of [2]. By Corollary 2 and Eq. (12), the spectra of the Hamiltonians h, \tilde{h} and \hat{H}_1 are related by

$$\sigma'(\hat{H}_1) = \sigma'(h - E_0) \cup \sigma'(\tilde{h} - E_0).$$

We shall now derive an expression for the two-dimensional Darboux transformation in local coordinates. Let the operators q_i^\pm and p_i^\pm be defined in local coordinates by

$$q_i^\pm = \mp \nabla_i + \chi_i = \mp e^{\pm \chi} \nabla_i e^{\mp \chi}, \quad p_i^\pm = \sqrt{g} \epsilon_{ij} q^{j\mp}. \tag{23}$$

In particular, notice that the operators q_i^\pm (resp. p_i^\pm) transform like the components of a covariant tensor (resp. pseudo-tensor) of rank 1 under changes of local coordinates.

If ψ is an eigenfunction of h with eigenvalue $E \neq E_0$, then $d^- \psi$ is an eigenfunction of H_1 with eigenvalue $E - E_0$. Using the general formula (14) we have

$$(d^- \psi)_i = q_i^- \psi. \tag{24}$$

From (21) it follows that the vector field with components

$$g^{ij} q_j^- \psi \equiv q^{j-} \psi \tag{25}$$

is an eigenvector of \hat{H}_1 with eigenvalue $E - E_0$. Suppose now that ϕ is an eigenfunction of \tilde{h} with eigenvalue $E \neq E_0$. Then $*\phi$ is an eigenform of H_2 with eigenvalue $E - E_0$, so that $\delta^+(*\phi)$ is an eigenform of H_1 with eigenvalue $E - E_0$. From the local formulas (1) and (15) and the fact that the pseudo-tensor with components $\sqrt{g} \epsilon_{ij}$ is covariantly constant, it follows that

$$\delta^+(*\phi)_i = -p_i^- \phi.$$

Therefore the vector field with components

$$g^{ij} p_j^- \phi \equiv p^{i-} \phi \tag{26}$$

is an eigenvector of \hat{H}_1 with eigenvalue $E - E_0$. Note also that $p^{i\pm}$ can be expressed as

$$p^{i\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_j \epsilon_{ij} q_j^{\mp}.$$

Conversely, if $\Psi = \psi_i dx^i$ is an eigenform of $H_1^{(1)}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$, then (Lemma 3) Ψ is an eigenform of H_1 with the same eigenvalue, and $\delta^+\Psi$ is an eigenfunction of h with eigenvalue $\lambda + E_0$. From (2) we obtain

$$\delta^+\Psi = -e^\chi \nabla^i (e^{-\chi} \psi_i) = q^{i+} \psi_i = q_i^+ \psi^i, \tag{27}$$

where the vector field $\Psi^\sharp = \psi^i \partial / \partial x^i$ is an eigenvector of \hat{H}_1 . Likewise, if Ψ is an eigenform of $H_1^{(2)}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$ then Ψ is an eigenform of H_1 with the same eigenvalue, and $\delta^+\Psi$ is an eigenfunction of H_2 with eigenvalue $\lambda + E_0$. It follows that $*\delta^+\Psi$ is an eigenfunction of \tilde{h} with eigenvalue $\lambda + E_0$. Using the local coordinate formulas (1) and (14) we easily obtain

$$*d^-\Psi = p_i^+ \psi^i, \tag{28}$$

where again the functions ψ^i are the components of an eigenvector of \hat{H}_1 with eigenvalue λ . As before, in flat space and cartesian coordinates Eqs. (23), (25)–(28) reduce to the corresponding formulas in [2].

The above formulas expressing the two-dimensional Darboux transformation in terms of the operators q_i^\pm and p_i^\pm suggest that the component Hamiltonians and the intertwining relations can also be written in terms of the latter operators. A straightforward computation using the local coordinate expressions for $*$, d and δ shows that this is indeed the case. More precisely, we have

$$h - E_0 = q_i^+ q^{i-}, \quad \tilde{h} - E_0 = q_i^- q^{i+} = p_i^+ p^{i-}$$

and $\hat{H}_1 = \hat{H}_1^{(1)} + \hat{H}_1^{(2)}$ with

$$(\hat{H}_1^{(1)}\Psi)^i = q^{i-} q_j^+ \psi^j \equiv (\hat{H}^{(1)})^i_j \psi^j, \quad (\hat{H}_1^{(2)}\Psi)^i = p^{i-} p_j^+ \psi^j \equiv (\hat{H}^{(2)})^i_j \psi^j.$$

Notice that, strictly speaking, $(\hat{H}^{(k)})^i_j$ is not the (i, j) th matrix element of the operator $\hat{H}^{(k)}$, since $\nabla_i \psi^j$ in general depends on all the components of Ψ . Similarly, the intertwining relations (9) and (10) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} q_i^+ (\hat{H}^{(1)})^i_j &= (h - E_0) q_j^+, & (\hat{H}^{(1)})^i_j q^{j-} &= q^{i-} (h - E_0), \\ p_i^+ (\hat{H}^{(2)})^i_j &= (\tilde{h} - E_0) p_j^+, & (\hat{H}^{(2)})^i_j p^{j-} &= p^{i-} (\tilde{h} - E_0). \end{aligned}$$

In flat space and cartesian coordinates, the above expressions reduce to the corresponding ones in [2].

We shall now show how the classical Moutard transform [12] is generalized in the case of an oriented Riemannian surface. To this end, suppose that ψ is a formal eigenfunction of h with the same eigenvalue E_0 as $e^{-\chi}$. Note that ψ need not be proportional to $e^{-\chi}$, since

we are dealing with formal eigenfunctions. A *Moutard transform* of ψ is any function $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfying

$$d^+ \tilde{\psi} = \delta^-(\ast\psi). \tag{29}$$

Locally, the above equation has a solution if and only if $(h - E_0)\psi = 0$. Indeed, by the local exactness of d^+ the compatibility condition of (29) is

$$d^+ \delta^-(\ast\psi) = 0.$$

The Hodge dual of the latter equation is simply

$$\delta^+ d^- \psi = (h - E_0)\psi = 0,$$

as claimed. Note that the Moutard transform $\tilde{\psi}$ is locally defined by (29) only up to a constant multiple of e^χ . Indeed, if $\tilde{\psi}_1$ and $\tilde{\psi}_2$ are two Moutard transforms of ψ then their difference satisfies $d^+(\tilde{\psi}_1 - \tilde{\psi}_2) = 0$, that is $d[e^{-\chi}(\tilde{\psi}_1 - \tilde{\psi}_2)] = 0$.

If $\tilde{\psi}$ is any Moutard transform of ψ , then

$$(\tilde{h} - E_0)\tilde{\psi} = 0,$$

where as before \tilde{h} is the Moutard transform of h . Indeed, applying δ^- to (29) we obtain

$$0 = \delta^- d^+(\tilde{\psi}) = \tilde{H}_0 \tilde{\psi} = (\tilde{h} - E_0)\tilde{\psi}.$$

5. The multi-dimensional Moutard transformation

We shall derive in this section a generalization to oriented Riemannian manifolds of arbitrary dimension of the classical two-dimensional Moutard transformation introduced in Section 4. The key to this generalization is a remarkable connection between the zero eigenspaces of the operators $H_k^{(2)}$ and $H_{k+2}^{(1)}$ (or, equivalently, of the components $\tilde{H}_k^{(2)}$ and $\tilde{H}_{k-2}^{(1)}$ of \tilde{H} defined below) that we shall describe next.

Throughout this section, M will denote an oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with trivial de Rham cohomology. In particular, the latter condition will always hold if M is a contractible open subset of any oriented Riemannian manifold. Let us decompose \tilde{H} as

$$\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}^{(1)} + \tilde{H}^{(2)}$$

with

$$\tilde{H}^{(1)} = \delta^- d^+, \quad \tilde{H}^{(2)} = d^+ \delta^-,$$

so that

$$\tilde{H}^{(i)} = (\ast)^{-1} H^{(i)} \ast, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

as in Proposition 5. As before, the operators $H^{(i)}$ are formally non-negative and are the formal adjoint of one another. If $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$, let $\omega \in \wedge_0^k(\tilde{H}^{(2)})$ be a *zero mode* of $\tilde{H}^{(2)}$,

i.e., a k -form ω satisfying the equation $\tilde{H}^{(2)}\omega = 0$. In this section we shall exclusively deal with formal eigenforms, so that in particular ω is *not* required to be square-integrable. By analogy with (29), it is natural to consider the equation

$$d^+ \bar{\omega} = \delta^- \omega \tag{30}$$

as an equation for the $(k - 2)$ -form $\bar{\omega}$. The properties of (30), which are easily established, are analogous to those of (29), namely:

- (i) Eq. (30) is compatible if and only if ω is a zero mode of $\tilde{H}^{(2)}$.
- (ii) $\bar{\omega}$ is uniquely defined by (30) up to an element of $\text{Im } d_{k-3}^+ = e^\chi \text{Im } d_{k-3}$. In particular, if $k = 2$ we have $\text{Im } d_{-1} = \mathbb{R}$ (the space of constant functions on M), so that $\text{Im } d_{-1}^+ = \mathbb{R} e^\chi$.
- (iii) Any solution $\bar{\omega}$ of (30) is a zero mode of $\tilde{H}_{k-2}^{(1)}$, i.e., we have

$$\tilde{H}^{(1)}\bar{\omega} = 0.$$

From the above properties it follows that (30) defines a mapping

$$\bar{} : \wedge_0^k(\tilde{H}^{(2)})/\text{Im } \delta_{k+1}^- \rightarrow \wedge_0^{k-2}(\tilde{H}^{(1)})/\text{Im } d_{k-3}^+, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n,$$

which is easily seen to be an isomorphism by the assumption on the de Rham cohomology of M . Note that for the above mapping to be non-trivial M must be *non-compact*. Indeed, if M is compact then an easy integration by parts argument implies that $\wedge_0^k(\tilde{H}^{(2)}) = \text{Im } \delta_{k+1}^-$ and $\wedge_0^{k-2}(\tilde{H}^{(1)}) = \text{Im } d_{k-3}^+$.

Totally analogous considerations can be made for the components $H_k^{(2)}$ and $H_{k+2}^{(1)}$ of H . To be precise, consider the equation

$$\delta^+ \check{\omega} = d^- \omega, \tag{31}$$

where ω is a k -form and $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 2$. As before, the integrability condition for (31) is that ω be a zero mode of $H_k^{(2)}$, in which case $\check{\omega} \in \wedge^{k+2}(M)$ is uniquely defined modulo $\text{Im } \delta_{k+3}^+ = e^\chi \text{Im } \delta_{k+3}$ (with $\text{Im } \delta_{n+1} = *(\text{Im } \delta_{-1}) = \mathbb{R} \mu$), and is a zero mode of $H_{k+2}^{(1)}$. Eq. (31) thus defines a mapping

$$\check{} : \wedge_0^k(H^{(2)})/\text{Im } d_{k-1}^- \rightarrow \wedge_0^{k+2}(H^{(1)})/\text{Im } \delta_{k+3}^+, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n - 2,$$

which is again an isomorphism. Clearly, the mappings $\bar{}$ and $\check{}$ are related by Hodge duality. More precisely, an elementary calculation yields the following result:

Proposition 6. *The maps $(\check{})_k$ and $-(\bar{})_{n-k}$ are conjugated under Hodge duality*

$$(\check{})_k = -(\ast)^{-1} \circ (\bar{})_{n-k} \circ \ast. \tag{32}$$

Comparing Eqs. (29) and (30), we see that a Moutard transform of a function $\psi \in \wedge_0^0(H^{(2)}) \equiv \wedge_0^0(H)$ on a two-dimensional manifold M is simply any function $\tilde{\psi}$ in the

equivalence class of $\overline{*}\psi$, which will be an element of $\wedge_0^0(\tilde{H}^{(1)}) \equiv \wedge_0^0(\tilde{H})$. This observation motivates the following general definition:

Definition 7. The Moutard transform of $\omega \in \wedge_0^k(H^{(2)})/\text{Im } d_{k-1}^-$ is the element

$$\tilde{\omega} = \overline{*}\omega$$

of $\wedge_0^{n-k-2}(\tilde{H}^{(1)})/\text{Im } d_{n-k-3}^+$.

The domain and the range of the Moutard operator $\tilde{}$ defined above follow immediately from the identity

$$\tilde{} = \overline{} \circ *,$$

or equivalently, using (32),

$$\tilde{} = -(* \circ \overline{}).$$

In other words, if $\omega \in \wedge_0^k(H^{(2)})/\text{Im } d_{k-1}^-$, its Moutard transform is the unique solution $\tilde{\omega} \in \wedge_0^{n-k-2}(M)/\text{Im } d_{n-k-3}^+$ of the equation

$$d^+\tilde{\omega} = \delta^-(*\omega), \tag{33}$$

which is automatically an element of $\wedge_0^{n-k-2}(\tilde{H}^{(1)})/\text{Im } d_{n-k-3}^+$. As before, for the Moutard operator to be non-trivial M must be non-compact, since otherwise $\wedge_0^k(H^{(2)}) = \text{Im } d_{k-1}^-$ and $\wedge_0^{n-k-2}(\tilde{H}^{(1)}) = \text{Im } d_{n-k-3}^+$. Notice also that the Moutard transform of a k -form ω has different degree than ω , unless $n = 2m$ is even and $k = m - 1$, with $m = 1, 2, \dots$. For instance, when $m = 1$ we have $n = 2, k = n - k - 2 = 0$, and we obtain the generalization of the classical Moutard transformation to Riemannian surfaces introduced in Section 4.

6. Examples

We present in this section a few examples of the two-dimensional Darboux transformation on curved surfaces based on the theory of quasi-exactly solvable Hamiltonians [6,7].

As our first example, consider the first-order differential operators

$$J^1 = \partial_x, \quad J^2 = \partial_y, \quad J^3 = x \partial_x,$$

$$J^4 = x \partial_y, \quad J^5 = y \partial_y, \quad J^6 = x^2 \partial_x + xy \partial_y - 2x.$$

The above differential operators span a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$, which coincides with the canonical form (1.11) in the classification of Lie algebras of differential operators in two variables of Ref. [8] for $n = r = 2$. The latter Lie algebra is *quasi-exactly solvable*, [6], since it preserves the subspace $\mathcal{N} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ whose elements are the polynomials in the variables (x, y) of total degree less than or equal to 2.

Let J denote the following element of the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} :

$$J = (J^1)^2 + (J^2)^2 + 4(a - b)(J^3)^2 + 4b(J^5)^2 + 4a(a - 2b)(J^6)^2 + 2a\{J^3, J^5\} - 4(2a + b)J^3 + 2(a - 12b)J^5 + 5(7b - a),$$

where a, b are real parameters such that

$$a > 2b > 0. \tag{34}$$

If we define

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{4} \log[1 + 2(a - 2b)x^2] - \frac{3}{2} \log[1 + 2(ax^2 + 2by^2)],$$

then it can be shown that

$$-e^\sigma \cdot J \cdot e^{-\sigma} = h, \tag{35}$$

where $h = -\Delta + V(x, y)$ is a Schrödinger operator on the manifold $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ endowed with an appropriate metric. More precisely (cf. [6, Example 4.3.3]), the contravariant metric tensor (g^{ij}) has components

$$\begin{aligned} g^{11} &= (1 + 2ax^2)[1 + 2(a - 2b)x^2], \\ g^{12} &= 2axy[1 + 2(a - 2b)x^2], \\ g^{22} &= 1 + 4by^2 + 4a(a - 2b)x^2y^2, \end{aligned}$$

and Gaussian curvature

$$K = -2a[1 + 4(a - 2b)x^2],$$

and the potential $V(x, y)$ is given by

$$V = -3a(a - 2b)x^2 - \frac{b}{1 + 2(a - 2b)x^2} - \frac{48b}{1 + 2(ax^2 + 2by^2)}.$$

Since J belongs to the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} by construction, it restricts to the finite-dimensional vector space \mathcal{N} . We can therefore easily diagonalize $J|_{\mathcal{N}}$, obtaining the eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0 &= -5a + 23b + 4s, & \lambda_1 &= -5a + 23b - 4s, & \lambda_2 &= -a + 3b, \\ \lambda_3 &= -9a + 27b, & \lambda_4 &= -3a + 15b, & \lambda_5 &= -3a + 7b, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$s = \sqrt{a^2 - 2ab + 9b^2}.$$

Their corresponding eigenfunctions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0 &= 3b + s + 2a(a - 2b)x^2, & \varphi_1 &= 3b - s + 2a(a - 2b)x^2, \\ \varphi_2 &= 1 + 2ax^2 - 16by^2, & \varphi_3 &= x, & \varphi_4 &= y, & \varphi_5 &= xy. \end{aligned}$$

By (35), the Schrödinger operator h possesses the six eigenfunctions

$$\psi_i = e^\sigma \varphi_i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5,$$

with energies

$$E_i = -\lambda_i. \tag{36}$$

The first of these eigenfunctions has no zeros, and therefore it must correspond to the ground state of h . The ground state energy of h is then given by

$$E_0 = 5a - 23b - 4s,$$

which is indeed manifestly lower than the remaining five energies (36) by (34).

To define the twisted Hamiltonian H and the Darboux transform of the eigenfunctions ψ_i , it is convenient to take as $e^{-\chi}$ a constant multiple of the ground state ψ_0 , since this eigenfunction has no zeros. We shall therefore define

$$\chi = -\log(x^2 + c) - \sigma,$$

where the constant $c > 0$ is given by

$$c = \frac{3b + s}{2a(a - 2b)}.$$

According to (22), the action of H on a vector field X can be expressed as

$$(\hat{H}_1 X)^i = (-\nabla_j \nabla^j + v) X^i + V^i_j X^j,$$

where $v = V - E_0 + K$ and $V^i_j = 2 \nabla^i \nabla_j \chi$. After a long but straightforward calculation we find that

$$v = -7a + 23b + 4s - 11a\gamma x^2 - \frac{b}{1 + 2\gamma x^2} - \frac{48b}{1 + 2(a x^2 + 2b y^2)},$$

$$V^1_2 = -\frac{48abxy(1 + 2\gamma x^2)}{1 + 2(a x^2 + 2b y^2)},$$

$$V^2_1 = -\frac{48axy(b + a\gamma x^2)}{1 + 2(a x^2 + 2b y^2)} + \frac{xy P_6(x)}{\gamma a (c + x^2)^2 (1 + 2\gamma x^2)},$$

where

$$\gamma = a - 2b > 0$$

and

$$P_6(x) = 48a^2\gamma^3 x^6 + 4a\gamma^2(9a + 12b + 4s)x^4 + 4\gamma(5a^2 - 9ab - as + 18bs + 54b^2)x^2 + 5a^2 - 22ab - 4as + 30bs + 90b^2.$$

Note, in particular, that $V^1_2 \neq V^2_1$.

The Darboux transforms $d^- \psi_i$ of the eigenfunctions ψ_i , $1 \leq i \leq 5$, constructed above can also be computed in a straightforward way using (24), with the following result:

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^- \psi_1 &= \frac{4 e^\sigma s x}{c + x^2} dx, \\
 d^- \psi_2 &= 2 e^\sigma \left[\frac{x (16 b y^2 + 2 a c - 1)}{c + x^2} dx - 16 b y dy \right], \\
 d^- \psi_3 &= e^\sigma \frac{c - x^2}{c + x^2} dx, \\
 d^- \psi_4 &= e^\sigma \left[-\frac{2 x y}{c + x^2} dx + dy \right], \\
 d^- \psi_5 &= e^\sigma \left[\frac{y (c - x^2)}{c + x^2} dx + x dy \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

As explained in Section 4 the five vector fields associated to these 1-forms are formal eigenvectors of \hat{H}_1 with eigenvalues $E_i - E_0$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$. For example, the first of these vector fields is

$$4 s x \frac{1 + 2 \gamma x^2}{c + x^2} e^\sigma [(1 + 2 a x^2) \partial_x + 2 a x y \partial_y].$$

In this case, it is easy to show that the five formal eigenvectors of \hat{H}_1 constructed above are actually square-integrable. Indeed, the square of the L^2 norm of a vector field X can be expressed as

$$\|X\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{g} g^{ij} X_i X_j dx dy,$$

where $g = \det(g_{ij})$ and X_i ($i = 1, 2$) are the components of the associated 1-form X^\flat . But

$$e^{2\sigma} \sqrt{g} = (1 + 2 a x^2 + 4 b y^2)^{-7/2} < \text{const. } r^{-7}, \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \rightarrow \infty,$$

and a straightforward calculation shows that $e^{-2\sigma} g^{ij} X_i X_j$ is bounded by a constant times r^4 as $r \rightarrow \infty$ when $X^\flat = d^- \psi_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 5$, thus proving our contention.

The limiting case

$$a = 2b$$

of the previous example is worth studying, because in this case the curvature is constant and negative

$$K = -4 b < 0.$$

Diagonalizing again $J|\mathcal{N}$ we find the eigenvalues

$$\lambda_0 = 25 b, \quad \lambda_1 = 9 b, \quad \lambda_2 = b,$$

of respective multiplicities 1, 2 and 3. The corresponding eigenfunctions are

$$\varphi_0 = 1, \quad \varphi_1 = x, \quad \varphi_2 = y, \quad \varphi_3 = x y, \quad \varphi_4 = 12 b x^2 - 1, \quad \varphi_5 = 12 b y^2 - 1.$$

As before, the six functions $\psi_i = e^\sigma \varphi_i$ are eigenfunctions of h with eigenvalue $E_i = -\lambda_i$, where σ can be taken as

$$\sigma = -\frac{3}{2} \log(1 + 4 b r^2).$$

Again, the first of these eigenfunctions has no zeros, and therefore corresponds to the ground state, with ground energy

$$E_0 = -25 b.$$

Taking $\psi_0 = e^{-\chi}$, or equivalently

$$\chi = -\sigma,$$

we find

$$v = 20 b - \frac{48 b}{1 + 4 b r^2}, \quad V^1_2 = V^2_1 = -\frac{96 b^2 x y}{1 + 4 b r^2}.$$

The Darboux transforms of the eigenfunctions ψ_i ($1 \leq i \leq 5$) are easily computed. Their associated vector fields, which are as usual eigenvectors of \tilde{H}_1 with eigenvalues $E_i - E_0$, are

$$\begin{aligned} & e^\sigma [(1 + 4 b x^2) \partial_x + 4 b x y \partial_y], \quad e^\sigma [4 b x y \partial_x + (1 + 4 b y^2) \partial_y], \\ & e^\sigma [(1 + 8 b x^2) y \partial_x + (1 + 8 b y^2) x \partial_y], \quad 24 b e^\sigma [(1 + 4 b x^2) x \partial_x + 4 b x^2 y \partial_y], \\ & 24 b e^\sigma [4 b x y^2 \partial_x + (1 + 4 b y^2) y \partial_y]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$e^{2\sigma} \sqrt{g} = (1 + 4 b r^2)^{-7/2},$$

an argument analogous to the one for the previous example shows that the latter eigenvectors are all square-integrable.

Consider now the canonical form (2.3) (with $n = 2$) in the classification of Ref. [8], which is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ spanned by the first-order differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} J^1 &= \partial_x, \quad J^2 = \partial_y, \quad J^3 = x \partial_x, \quad J^4 = y \partial_x, \quad J^5 = x \partial_y, \quad J^6 = y \partial_y, \\ J^7 &= x^2 \partial_x + x y \partial_y - 2 x, \quad J^8 = x y \partial_x + y^2 \partial_y - 2 y. \end{aligned}$$

The latter Lie algebra leaves invariant the finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{N} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ introduced in the previous examples, so that it is again quasi-exactly solvable. Let J denote the element of the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} given by

$$\begin{aligned} J &= (J^1)^2 + l (J^2)^2 + a [l (J^7)^2 + (J^8)^2] \\ &\quad + b (\{J^1, J^7\} + \{J^2, J^8\} + 7 J^3 + 7 J^6), \end{aligned}$$

where a, l are positive real parameters, $b \geq 0$, and

$$\gamma = la - b^2 > 0.$$

If

$$\rho = b + a(lx^2 + y^2) \tag{37}$$

and

$$\sigma = -\frac{5}{8} \log(\rho^2 + \gamma) + \frac{7}{4} b \gamma^{-1/2} \arctan(\gamma^{-1/2} \rho),$$

it is shown in [6] that (35) defines again a Schrödinger operator h , with potential V given by

$$V = -\frac{71}{4} b - \frac{3}{4} \rho + \frac{(192 b^2 - 45 a l) \rho + 143 a b l - 192 b^3}{4(\rho^2 + \gamma)}.$$

The metric of the Riemannian manifold $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ has now contravariant components

$$g^{11} = 1 + x^2(b + \rho),$$

$$g^{12} = x y(b + \rho),$$

$$g^{22} = l + y^2(b + \rho),$$

and Gaussian curvature given by

$$K = -2\rho.$$

The eigenvalues of $J|N$ are easily found to be

$$\lambda_0 = 4b + 2s, \quad \lambda_1 = 4b - 2s, \quad \lambda_2 = 12b, \quad \lambda_3 = 2b,$$

where

$$s = \sqrt{16b^2 + 2al}, \tag{38}$$

and the last two eigenvalues have multiplicity 2. The corresponding eigenfunctions are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0 &= lx^2 + y^2 + \frac{s - 4b}{a}, & \varphi_1 &= lx^2 + y^2 - \frac{s + 4b}{a}, \\ \varphi_2 &= lx^2 - y^2, & \varphi_3 &= xy, & \varphi_4 &= x, & \varphi_5 &= y. \end{aligned}$$

On account of (35), multiplying these eigenfunctions of J by the factor e^σ we obtain six eigenfunctions $\psi_i = e^\sigma \varphi_i$ of h , with energies $E_i = -\lambda_i$. As in the previous examples, the first of these eigenfunctions never vanishes, and therefore it corresponds to the ground state of h , with ground energy given by

$$E_0 = -4b - 2s.$$

We therefore take

$$\chi = -\log \psi_0 = -\sigma - \log(lx^2 + y^2 + k),$$

with

$$k = \frac{s - 4b}{a} > 0,$$

obtaining

$$v = 2s - \frac{55}{4}b - \frac{11}{4}\rho + \frac{(192b^2 - 45al)\rho + 143abl - 192b^3}{4(\rho^2 + \gamma)}$$

and

$$V^1_2 = \frac{2axyP_3(\rho)}{(\rho^2 + \gamma)(\rho + s - 5b)^2}, \quad V^2_1 = lV^1_2,$$

where

$$P_3(\rho) = (27b - 4s)\rho^3 + (9al + 14bs - 79b^2)\rho^2 + (317b^3 - 76b^2s - 16abl + 6als)\rho - 201b^4 + 187ab^2l - 50b\gamma s + 14a^2l^2.$$

Note that the denominator of V^1_2 never vanishes on account of (37) and (38).

The Darboux transforms of the eigenfunctions ψ_i ($1 \leq i \leq 5$) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} d^- \psi_1 &= \frac{4se^\sigma}{a(k + lx^2 + y^2)}(lx dx + y dy), \\ d^- \psi_2 &= \frac{2e^\sigma}{k + lx^2 + y^2}[lx(k + 2y^2) dx - (k + 2lx^2)y dy], \\ d^- \psi_3 &= \frac{e^\sigma}{k + lx^2 + y^2}[y(k - lx^2 + y^2) dx + x(k + lx^2 - y^2) dy], \\ d^- \psi_4 &= \frac{e^\sigma}{k + lx^2 + y^2}[(k - lx^2 + y^2) dx - 2xy dy], \\ d^- \psi_5 &= \frac{e^\sigma}{k + lx^2 + y^2}[-2lxy dx + (k + lx^2 - y^2) dy]. \end{aligned}$$

The vector fields associated to these 1-forms are eigenvectors of \hat{H}_1 with eigenvalue $E_i - E_0$. As in the previous examples, the latter vector fields are easily found to be square-integrable on M on account of the asymptotic behavior at infinity of $e^{2\sigma}\sqrt{g}$ and $e^{-\sigma}(d^- \psi_i)$.

Acknowledgements

One of the authors (AGL) would like to thank A. Galindo, M. Mañas and M. A. Martín-Delgado for helpful conversations.

References

- [1] A.A. Andrianov, N.V. Borissov, M.I. Eides and M.V. Ioffe, Supersymmetric origin of equivalent quantum systems, *Phys. Lett. A* 109 (1985) 143–148.
- [2] A.A. Andrianov, N.V. Borissov and M.V. Ioffe, The factorization method and quantum systems with equivalent energy spectra, *Phys. Lett. A* 105 (1984) 19–22.
- [3] M.M. Crum, Associated Sturm–Liouville equations, *Q. J. Math.* 6 (1955) 121–127.
- [4] G. Darboux, *Théorie Générale des Surfaces*, Vol. II (Gauthier–Villars, Paris, 1888).
- [5] P. Deift and E. Trubowitz, Inverse scattering on the line, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 32 (1979) 121–251.
- [6] A. González-López, N. Kamran and P.J. Olver, New quasi-exactly solvable Hamiltonians in two dimensions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 159 (1994) 503–537.
- [7] A. González-López, N. Kamran and P.J. Olver, Quasi-exact solvability, *Contemp. Math.* 160 (1994) 113–140.
- [8] A. González-López, N. Kamran and P.J. Olver, Real Lie algebras of differential operators and quasi-exactly solvable potentials, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A* 354 (1996) 1165–1193.
- [9] E. Goursat, *Leçons Sur l'Intégration des Equations aux Dérivées Partielles du Second Ordre* (Hermann, Paris, 1896).
- [10] L. Infeld and T. Hull, The factorization method, *Rev. Modern Phys.* 23 (1951) 21–68.
- [11] N. Kamran and K. Tenenblat, Laplace transformation in higher dimensions, *Duke Math. J.* 84 (1996) 237–266.
- [12] Th.F. Moutard, Sur la construction des équations de la forme $z^{-1}z_{xy} = \lambda(x, y)$, qui admettent une intégrale générale explicite, *J. de L'Ecole Polytech. Cahier* 45 (1878) 834.
- [13] A. Ushveridze, *Quasi-exactly Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics* (IOP, Bristol, 1994).
- [14] P.J. Vassiliou, On some geometry associated with a generalised Toda lattice, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 49 (1994) 439–462.
- [15] E. Witten, Dynamical breaking of supersymmetry, *Nuclear Phys. B* 188 (1981) 513–555.
- [16] E. Witten, Supersymmetry and Morse theory, *J. Differential Geom.* 17 (1982) 661–692.